REMARKS

In the final Office Action, the Examiner rejected claims 5 and 7 - 11 as anticipated by Sexton et al., allowed claims 1 - 4 and 14 and objected to claims 6, 12 and 13 but indicated that claims 6, 12 and 13 are directed to allowable subject matter, and identified further art of record but not relied upon.

35 USC 102(b)

The rejections over the Sexton et al. reference are overcome by cancellation of claim 5 and amendment of the claims 7 - 11 to depend from allowable claim 6.

Allowable Claims

Applicants note with appreciation the allowance of claims 1 - 4, and 14, and the indication of allowable subject matter in claims 6, 12 and 13. Claims 6, 12 and 13 have been redrafted in independent form and claims 7 - 11 are amended to depend from claim 6.

Additional Art

The additional art cited by the Examiner but not relied upon is noted by the Applicants.

Conclusion

Applicants respectfully request favorable reconsideration and allowance of the present application in view of the foregoing remarks.

Respectfully submitted,

Melvin A. Robinson (Reg. No. 31,870)

Schiff Hardin LLP Patent Department 6600 Sears Tower

Chicago, Illinois 60606 Telephone: 312-258-5785

CUSTOMER NO. 26574

ATTORNEY FOR APPLICANT



CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

The alstin

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail addressed to:

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

on November 22, 2004.

CH1\4200966.1